

Exhibit E, Evaluation Tool RFA No. HHS0015451 Criteria, Subcriteria Sheet				
Evaluator				
Respondent				
#	Criteria	Weight	Score	Comments
<b>1</b>	<b>Local Unmet Needs</b>			
<b>1.1</b>	Evaluate the Applicant's description of the local unmet need for reproductive health Services.	10%		
<b>1.2</b>	Evaluate the Applicant's use of qualitative and quantitative data.	10%		
	<b>Subtotal</b>	<b>20%</b>		
<b>2</b>	<b>Work Plan</b>			
<b>2.1</b>	Evaluate the Applicant's proposed use of cost reimbursement funding to increase HTW utilization and/or expand capacity.	40%		
	<b>Subtotal</b>	<b>40%</b>		
<b>3</b>	<b>Promotion and Outreach</b>			
<b>3.1</b>	Evaluate the Applicant's description of promotion and outreach plans for HTW Services in each of the selected counties outlined in this RFA.	20%		
<b>3.2</b>	Evaluate the Applicant's specific plans for counties where the Applicant does not operate a physical or mobile clinic.	20%		
	<b>Subtotal</b>	<b>40%</b>		
	<b>TOTAL (%)</b>	<b>100%</b>		

Exhibit E, Evaluation Tool RFA No. HHS0015451		
Evaluation Scoring Guide		
Score	Level	Description
Unacceptable	1	Response does not address requirement. Response is completely unacceptable.
Unacceptable	2	Response mentions requirement, but is not responsive to the elements of the requirement.
Unacceptable	3	Response addresses requirement, but response described does not allow the agency to fulfill mission.
Marginal. Fails to meet evaluation standards but failures are correctable.	4	Response meets fundamental requirements, however could not be implemented as described (would require both the agency and Respondent to make significant changes not currently anticipated).
Marginal. Fails to meet evaluation standards but failures are correctable.	5	Response meets fundamental requirements, however could not be implemented as described (implementation would require both the agency and Respondent to make minor changes not currently anticipated).
Marginal. Fails to meet evaluation standards but failures are correctable.	6	Response meets fundamental requirements, however could not be implemented as described (implementation would require changes to be made by Respondent only).
Acceptable	7	Response clearly satisfies requirement but has some minor weaknesses.
Acceptable	8	Response clearly satisfies requirement.
Acceptable	9	Response satisfies requirements and has some benefits above requirement.
Exceptional	10	Response far exceeds all aspects of requirement.

For the purposes of this exhibit, "the agency" means the contracting state agency as specified in the solicitation.

Exhibit E, Evaluation Tool RFA No. HHS0015451		
No.	Best Value Criteria	Weight
1	Local Unmet Needs	20%
2	Work Plan	40%
3	Promotion and Outreach	40%
	GRAND TOTAL	100%