

Exhibit H, Evaluation Tool RFA No. HHS0015314 Criteria, Subcriteria Sheet				
Evaluator Respondent				
#	Criteria	Weight	Score	Comments
1	Ability to Execute (35%)			
1.1	Evaluate the Respondent's demonstrated vision, mission, and values with the HHSC Epilepsy Program.	5%		
1.2	Evaluate the Respondent's experience, qualifications, and capacity needed to successfully provide the Epilepsy Services detailed in the proposed Project.	10%		
1.3	Evaluate the Respondent's resources available to successfully implement the proposed Project.	10%		
1.4	Evaluate the Respondent's Quality Assurance (QA)/Quality Improvement (QI) processes in place.	5%		
1.5	Evaluate the Respondent's ability to provide timely, accurate data and billing reports.	5%		
	Subtotal	35%		
2	Program Design and Preparedness (40%)			
2.1	Evaluate Respondent's understanding of the community that will be served by the proposed Project.	5%		
2.2	Evaluate the Respondent's ability to provide Services to culturally diverse populations.	5%		
2.3	Evaluate the Respondent's access to Services with the potential barriers to care.	10%		
2.4	Evaluate the Respondent's ability to coordinate with other providers in the proposed Service area and the proposed plan to avoid duplicating Services.	5%		
2.5	Evaluate the Respondent's level of preparedness to start providing Services immediately upon Contract execution.	5%		
2.6	Evaluate the Respondent's Requested Budget, average cost per client, and support for the number of Clients the Respondent proposes to provide Epilepsy Services to.	10%		
	Subtotal	40%		
3	Impact (25%)			
3.1	Evaluate the efficacy of the Respondent's plan to utilize grant funds to overcome the barriers that exist in the proposed Service area and alleviate the identified gaps in resources.	10%		
3.2	Evaluate the impact grant funds would have on increasing access to Epilepsy Services in the proposed service area.	10%		
3.3	Evaluate how grant funds are utilized for filling Service gaps to uninsured individuals in the proposed Service area.	5%		
	Subtotal	25%		
	TOTAL (%)	100%		

Exhibit H, Evaluation Tool RFA No. HHS0015314		
Evaluation Scoring Guide		
Score	Level	Description
Unacceptable	1	Response does not address requirement. Response is completely unacceptable.
Unacceptable	2	Response mentions requirement, but is not responsive to the elements of the requirement.
Unacceptable	3	Response addresses requirement, but response described does not allow the agency to fulfill mission.
Marginal. Fails to meet evaluation standards but failures are correctable.	4	Response meets fundamental requirements, however could not be implemented as described (would require both the agency and Respondent to make significant changes not currently anticipated).
Marginal. Fails to meet evaluation standards but failures are correctable.	5	Response meets fundamental requirements, however could not be implemented as described (implementation would require both the agency and Respondent to make minor changes not currently anticipated).
Marginal. Fails to meet evaluation standards but failures are correctable.	6	Response meets fundamental requirements, however could not be implemented as described (implementation would require changes to be made by Respondent only).
Acceptable	7	Response clearly satisfies requirement but has some minor weaknesses.
Acceptable	8	Response clearly satisfies requirement.
Acceptable	9	Response satisfies requirements and has some benefits above requirement.
Exceptional	10	Response far exceeds all aspects of requirement.

For the purposes of this exhibit, "the agency" means the contracting state agency as specified in the solicitation.

Exhibit H, Evaluation Tool RFA No. HHS0015314		
No.	Best Value Criteria	Weight
1	Ability to Execute	35%
2	Program Design and Preparedness	40%
3	Impact	25%
GRAND TOTAL		100%