

**Exhibit E, Evaluation Tool
Family Planning Program RFA No. HHS0015297
Criteria, Subcriteria Sheet**

Evaluator				
Respondent				
#	Criteria	Weight	Score	Comments
1	Local Unmet Needs			
1.1	Evaluate the Respondent's description of local need for reproductive health Services.	5%		
1.2	Evaluate Respondent's use of qualitative and quantitative data and the use of rankings from the County Health Ranking and Roadmap.	5%		
1.3	Evaluate the Respondent's description of the Family Planning Program (FPP) Service provision.	5%		
1.4	Evaluate the Respondent's description of facilities and partnerships to ensure eligible clients are able to access all required Services of this RFA.	15%		
1.5	Evaluate the Respondent's description of FPP eligibility determination.	15%		
1.6	Evaluate the Respondent's proposed strategies to navigate ineligible individuals to other programs and strategies to avoid dual program eligibility.	5%		
	Subtotal	50%		
2	Outreach to Eligible Service Areas			
2.1	Evaluate the Respondent's description of promotion and outreach plans for FPP Services in each of the selected counties outlined in this RFA.	15%		
2.2	Evaluate the Respondent's specific plans for counties where the Applicant does not operate a physical or mobile clinic.	10%		
	Subtotal	25%		
3	Performance Measure(s)			
3.1	Evaluate the Respondent's description of selected performance measure(s) and associated targets listed in this RFA.	15%		
3.2	Evaluate the Respondent's proposed data sources, data collection system and process, along with the method of tracking this information and reporting it back to the System Agency.	10%		
	Subtotal	25%		
	TOTAL (%)	100%		

**Exhibit E, Evaluation Tool
Family Planning Program RFA No. HHS0015297**

Evaluation Scoring Guide

Score	Level	Description
Unacceptable	1	Response does not address requirement. Response is completely unacceptable.
Unacceptable	2	Response mentions requirement, but is not responsive to the elements of the requirement.
Unacceptable	3	Response addresses requirement, but response described does not allow the agency to fulfill mission.
Marginal. Fails to meet evaluation standards but failures are correctable.	4	Response meets fundamental requirements, however could not be implemented as described (would require both the agency and Respondent to make significant changes not currently anticipated).
Marginal. Fails to meet evaluation standards but failures are correctable.	5	Response meets fundamental requirements, however could not be implemented as described (implementation would require both the agency and Respondent to make minor changes not currently anticipated).
Marginal. Fails to meet evaluation standards but failures are correctable.	6	Response meets fundamental requirements, however could not be implemented as described (implementation would require changes to be made by Respondent only).
Acceptable	7	Response clearly satisfies requirement but has some minor weaknesses.
Acceptable	8	Response clearly satisfies requirement.
Acceptable	9	Response satisfies requirements and has some benefits above requirement.
Exceptional	10	Response far exceeds all aspects of requirement.

For the purposes of this exhibit, "the agency" means the contracting state agency as specified in the solicitation.

**Exhibit E, Evaluation Tool
Family Planning Program RFA No. HHS0015297**

No.	Best Value Criteria	Weight
1	Local Unmet Needs	50%
2	Outreach to Eligible Service Areas	25%
3	Performance Measure(s)	25%
GRAND TOTAL		100%