

Exhibit F, Evaluation Tool RFA No. HHS0015213 Criteria, Subcriteria Sheet				
Evaluator				
Respondent				
#	Criteria	Weight	Score	Comments
1	Organization Information and History (20%)			
1.1	Evaluate the Applicant's experience and knowledge in working with survivors of Family Violence, Domestic Violence, and/or Dating Violence.	10%		
1.2	Evaluate the Applicant's experience and history in providing Services to underserved populations.	10%		
Subtotal		20%		
2	Project Plan and Service Delivery (40%)			
2.1	Evaluate the Applicant's proposed Project and how it will provide the eligible Services to the Underserved Population(s).	20%		
2.2	Evaluate the Applicant's plan to target and reach their intended audience.	10%		
2.3	Evaluate the Applicant's experience in implementing the same or similar Project types.	10%		
Subtotal		40%		
3	Program Administration and Readiness (20%)			
3.1	Evaluate the Applicant's ability to comply with TAC 356 by the start of the grant award.	10%		
3.2	Evaluate the Applicant's plan to meet performance measures as outlined in the RFA.	10%		
Subtotal		20%		
4	Organizational and Fiscal Stability (20%)			
4.1	Evaluate the Applicant's accounting systems and processes in place.	10%		
4.2	Evaluate the Applicant's support from their community.	5%		
4.3	Evaluate the Applicant's staff and board structure based on the organizational chart, board chart, and board letter of support.	5%		
Subtotal		20%		
TOTAL (%)		100%		

Exhibit F, Evaluation Tool RFA No. HHS0015213		
Evaluation Scoring Guide		
Score	Level	Description
Unacceptable	1	Response does not address requirement. Response is completely unacceptable.
Unacceptable	2	Response mentions requirement, but is not responsive to the elements of the requirement.
Unacceptable	3	Response addresses requirement, but response described does not allow the agency to fulfill mission.
Marginal. Fails to meet evaluation standards but failures are correctable.	4	Response meets fundamental requirements, however could not be implemented as described (would require both the agency and Respondent to make significant changes not currently anticipated).
Marginal. Fails to meet evaluation standards but failures are correctable.	5	Response meets fundamental requirements, however could not be implemented as described (implementation would require both the agency and Respondent to make minor changes not currently anticipated).
Marginal. Fails to meet evaluation standards but failures are correctable.	6	Response meets fundamental requirements, however could not be implemented as described (implementation would require changes to be made by Respondent only).
Acceptable	7	Response clearly satisfies requirement but has some minor weaknesses.
Acceptable	8	Response clearly satisfies requirement.
Acceptable	9	Response satisfies requirements and has some benefits above requirement.
Exceptional	10	Response far exceeds all aspects of requirement.

For the purposes of this exhibit, "the agency" means the contracting state agency as specified in the solicitation.

Exhibit F, Evaluation Tool RFA No. HHS0015213		
No.	Best Value Criteria	Weight
1	Organization Information and History (20%)	20%
2	Project Plan and Service Delivery (40%)	40%
3	Program Administration and Readiness (20%)	20%
4	Organizational and Fiscal Stability (20%)	20%
	GRAND TOTAL	100%