

**Exhibit G, Evaluation Tool  
RFA No. HHS0015199  
Criteria, Subcriteria Sheet**

<b>Evaluator Respondent</b>				
<b>#</b>	<b>Criteria</b>	<b>Weight</b>	<b>Score</b>	<b>Comments</b>
<b>1</b>	<b>Training and Technical Assistance (30%)</b>			
<b>1.1</b>	Evaluate the organization's experience and plans to provide training to Family Violence programs outlined in this RFA.	10%		
<b>1.2</b>	Evaluate the organization's experience and plans to provide Technical Assistance to Family Violence programs outlined in this RFA.	10%		
<b>1.3</b>	Evaluate the Applicant's demonstrated ability to meet the required performance measures and outcomes outlined in this RFA.	10%		
	<b>Subtotal</b>	<b>30%</b>		
<b>2</b>	<b>Coordination with System Agency (20%)</b>			
<b>2.1</b>	Evaluate the organization's demonstrated ability to effectively coordinate and communicate with the System Agency.	10%		
<b>2.2</b>	Evaluate the organization's demonstrated ability to effectively coordinate with the System Agency, other agencies, and Service providers to strengthen partnerships outlined in this RFA.	10%		
	<b>Subtotal</b>	<b>20%</b>		
<b>3</b>	<b>Comprehensive State Planning (20%)</b>			
<b>3.1</b>	Evaluate the demonstrated ability to facilitate and coordinate the Family Violence Prevention and Services Act (FVPSA) State planning process as outlined in this RFA.	10%		
<b>3.2</b>	Evaluate the Applicant's experience in coordinating other comprehensive State plans with organizations and State agencies as outlined in this RFA.	10%		
	<b>Subtotal</b>	<b>20%</b>		
<b>4</b>	<b>Program Assessments and Effectiveness (20%)</b>			
<b>4.1</b>	Evaluate the Applicant's proposed plans to improve the operational and programmatic effectiveness of Family Violence programs.	10%		
<b>4.2</b>	Evaluate the Applicant's demonstrated ability to improve assessment practices and data that support the required outcomes of Service providers outlined in this RFA.	10%		
	<b>Subtotal</b>	<b>20%</b>		
<b>5</b>	<b>Organizational and Fiscal Stability (10%)</b>			
<b>5.1</b>	Evaluate the organization's accounting systems and fiscal oversight plans to carry out the requirements of this RFA.	5%		
<b>5.2</b>	Evaluate the organization's board leadership and staff's ability to carry out requirements of this RFA.	5%		
	<b>Subtotal</b>	<b>10%</b>		
	<b>TOTAL (%)</b>	<b>100%</b>		

**Exhibit G, Evaluation Tool  
RFA No. HHS0015199**

**Evaluation Scoring Guide**

<b>Score</b>	<b>Level</b>	<b>Description</b>
<b>Unacceptable</b>	<b>1</b>	Response does not address requirement. Response is completely unacceptable.
<b>Unacceptable</b>	<b>2</b>	Response mentions requirement, but is not responsive to the elements of the requirement.
<b>Unacceptable</b>	<b>3</b>	Response addresses requirement, but response described does not allow the agency to fulfill mission.
<b>Marginal. Fails to meet evaluation standards but failures are correctable.</b>	<b>4</b>	Response meets fundamental requirements, however could not be implemented as described (would require both the agency and Respondent to make significant changes not currently anticipated).
<b>Marginal. Fails to meet evaluation standards but failures are correctable.</b>	<b>5</b>	Response meets fundamental requirements, however could not be implemented as described (implementation would require both the agency and Respondent to make minor changes not currently anticipated).
<b>Marginal. Fails to meet evaluation standards but failures are correctable.</b>	<b>6</b>	Response meets fundamental requirements, however could not be implemented as described (implementation would require changes to be made by Respondent only).
<b>Acceptable</b>	<b>7</b>	Response clearly satisfies requirement but has some minor weaknesses.
<b>Acceptable</b>	<b>8</b>	Response clearly satisfies requirement.
<b>Acceptable</b>	<b>9</b>	Response satisfies requirements and has some benefits above requirement.
<b>Exceptional</b>	<b>10</b>	Response far exceeds all aspects of requirement.

For the purposes of this exhibit, "the agency" means the contracting state agency as specified in the solicitation.

**Exhibit G, Evaluation Tool  
RFA No. HHS0015199**

No.	Best Value Criteria	Weight
1	Training and Technical Assistance	30%
2	Coordination with System Agency	20%
3	Comprehensive State Planning	20%
4	Program Assessments and Effectiveness	20%
5	Organizational and Fiscal Stability	10%
<b>GRAND TOTAL</b>		<b>100%</b>