

Exhibit F, Evaluation Tool RFA No. HHS0014160 Criteria, Subcriteria Sheet				
Evaluator Respondent				
#	Criteria	Weight	Score	CQC Comments
1	Project Plan and Timeline			
1.1	Evaluate the project plan and the likelihood that it can be completed within the timeline.	20%		
1.2	Evaluate the Applicant's project plan and its ability to operate the facility after it is constructed and provide the applicable services.	10%		
	Subtotal	30%		
2	Project Budget			
2.1	Evaluate the total budget and its reasonableness for fulfilling the project plan.	25%		
	Subtotal	25%		
3	Meeting Local Need			
3.1	Evaluate how well the Applicant has identified the local need for services the facility will provide.	15%		
3.2	Evaluate this project's ability to meet an unmet local need by providing services that are accessible to Clients.	10%		
	Subtotal	25%		
4	Community Engagement			
4.1	Evaluate how well the Applicant has demonstrated support from collaborative members and community partners.	20%		
	Subtotal	20%		
	TOTAL (%)	100%		

Exhibit F, Evaluation Tool		
RFA No. HHS0014160		
Evaluation Scoring Guide		
Score	Level	Description
Unacceptable	1	Response does not address requirement. Response is completely unacceptable.
Unacceptable	2	Response mentions requirement, but is not responsive to the elements of the requirement.
Unacceptable	3	Response addresses requirement, but response described does not allow the agency to fulfill mission.
Marginal. Fails to meet evaluation standards but failures are correctable.	4	Response meets fundamental requirements, however could not be implemented as described (would require both the agency and Respondent to make significant changes not currently anticipated).
Marginal. Fails to meet evaluation standards but failures are correctable.	5	Response meets fundamental requirements, however could not be implemented as described (implementation would require both the agency and Respondent to make minor changes not currently anticipated).
Marginal. Fails to meet evaluation standards but failures are correctable.	6	Response meets fundamental requirements, however could not be implemented as described (implementation would require changes to be made by Respondent only).
Acceptable	7	Response clearly satisfies requirement but has some minor weaknesses.
Acceptable	8	Response clearly satisfies requirement.
Acceptable	9	Response satisfies requirements and has some benefits above requirement.
Exceptional	10	Response far exceeds all aspects of requirement.

For the purposes of this exhibit, "the agency" means the contracting state agency as specified in the solicitation.

Exhibit F, Evaluation Tool RFA No. HHS0014160		
No.	Best Value Criteria	Weight
1	Project Plan and Timeline	30%
2	Project Budget	25%
3	Meeting Local Need	25%
4	Community Engagement	20%
	GRAND TOTAL	100%