

Exhibit J: Abstinence Education Program Services Criteria, Subcriteria Sheet HHS0013957				
Evaluator				
Respondent				
#	Criteria	Weight	Score	Comments
1	ORGANIZATIONAL OVERVIEW (20%)			
1.1	Evaluate the organizational experience in providing direct Behavioral Health Services to Youth.	5%		
1.2	Evaluate the Applicant's experience managing a federal the grant.	5%		
1.3	Evaluate the Applicant's organizational structure.	5%		
1.4	Evaluate the Applicant's key staffing plan to support the AEP Services required by this RFA.	5%		
Subtotal		20%		
2	SERVICE DELIVERY MODEL (15%)			
2.1	Evaluate the Applicant's Service Delivery Model based on how many diffetent models will be targeted.	5%		
2.2	Evaluate the Texas county in which the proposed SRAE services will be performed.	5%		
2.3	Evaluate the Applicant's experience working with School Health Advisory Councils (SHAC), School Boards and/or Community partners.	5%		
Subtotal		15%		
3	PROGRAM KNOWLEDGE (20%)			
3.1	Evaluate the-Applicant's previous enrollment figures of Youths Served in any high risk youth programs.	10%		
3.2	Evaluate the Applicant's project start date, project timeline, and quarterly project goals for FY 25.	10%		
Subtotal		20%		
4	BUDGET AND JUSTIFICATION (25%)			
4.1	Evaluate the Applicant's proposed budget and proposed clients served per client.	25%		
Subtotal		25%		
5	EVIDENCE OF READINESS (10%)			
5.1	Evaluate the Applicant's evidence of readiness.	10%		
		10%		
6	INTERNAL CONTROLS (5%)			
6.1	Evaluate the Applicant's ability to oversee administrative operations designed to ensure compliance with contractual obligations with the ability to provide performance based outcomes.	5%		
Subtotal		5%		
7	FINANCIAL STABILITY (5%)			
7.1	Evaluate the Applicant's plan for maintaining tracking of the Title V- Sexual Risk Avoidance Federal Funds to ensure compliance in providing direct client services	5%		
Subtotal		5%		
TOTAL (%)		100%		

Exhibit J: Abstinence Education Program Services HHS0013957		
Evaluation Scoring Guide		
Score	Level	Description
Unacceptable	1	Response does not address requirement. Response is completely unacceptable.
Unacceptable	2	Response mentions requirement, but is not responsive to the elements of the requirement.
Unacceptable	3	Response addresses requirement, but response described does not allow the agency to fulfill mission.
Marginal. Fails to meet evaluation standards but failures are correctable.	4	Response meets fundamental requirements, however could not be implemented as described (would require both the agency and Respondent to make significant changes not currently anticipated).
Marginal. Fails to meet evaluation standards but failures are correctable.	5	Response meets fundamental requirements, however could not be implemented as described (implementation would require both the agency and Respondent to make minor changes not currently anticipated).
Marginal. Fails to meet evaluation standards but failures are correctable.	6	Response meets fundamental requirements, however could not be implemented as described (implementation would require changes to be made by Respondent only).
Acceptable	7	Response clearly satisfies requirement but has some minor weaknesses.
Acceptable	8	Response clearly satisfies requirement.
Acceptable	9	Response satisfies requirements and has some benefits above requirement.
Exceptional	10	Response far exceeds all aspects of requirement.

For the purposes of this exhibit, "the agency" means the contracting state agency as specified in the solicitation.

Exhibit J: Abstinence Education Program Services
HHS0013957

No.	Best Value Criteria	Weight
1	ORGANIZATIONAL OVERVIEW	20%
2	SERVICE DELIVERY MODEL	15%
3	PROGRAM KNOWLEDGE	20%
4	BUDGET AND JUSTIFICATION	25%
5	EVIDENCE OF READINESS	10%
6	INTERNAL CONTROLS	5%
7	FINANCIAL STABILITY	5%
	GRAND TOTAL	100%