

| Exhibit I, Evaluation Tool RFA No. HHS0013921 Criteria, Subcriteria Sheet | | | | |
|---|---|-------------|-------|----------|
| Evaluator | | | | |
| Applicant | | | | |
| # | Criteria | Weight | Score | Comments |
| 1 | Narrative Information | | | |
| 1.1 | Evaluate the extent to which the Executive Summary demonstrates an understanding of the goals, objectives, and business requirements of this solicitation. | 5% | | |
| 1.2 | Evaluate the extent to which the Applicant's accounting system meets the Financial Management & Administrative requirements in Form G. | 5% | | |
| 1.3 | Evaluate the extent to which the Applicant's background meets the requirements set forth in Form D. | 5% | | |
| 1.4 | Evaluate the extent to which the Applicant's summary provides a complete organizational and financial description, including budget information, program administration, and financial tools. | 5% | | |
| 1.5 | Evaluate the extent to which the Applicant provides a synopsis of the community, the target population, and the resources for clients and their families under the requirements of Form F Assessment and Project Development. | 5% | | |
| 1.6 | Evaluate the extent to which the Applicant demonstrates the ability to successfully provide the assessment, resources, project planning and development required under Form F Assessment and Project Development. | 15% | | |
| Subtotal | | 40% | | |
| 2 | Case Management Work Plan & Performance Evaluation | | | |
| 2.1 | Evaluate the Applicant's proposed primary purpose of the proposed project including timelines. | 5% | | |
| 2.2 | Evaluate how well the Applicant's Case Management Plan (Form E-1) describes an adequate, qualified workforce for successful service delivery and activities. | 7% | | |
| 2.3 | Evaluate the extent to which the the Applicant describes their ability to assess each client (child/youth, family/guardian, & other individuals) as required under the Case Management Plan (Form E-1). | 7% | | |
| 2.4 | Evaluate the Applicant's Program Evaluation Plan (Form E2). | 7% | | |
| 2.5 | Evaluate the extent to which the Applicant describes their effectiveness in providing services as described in the performance measures in Form E-3. | 19% | | |
| Subtotal | | 45% | | |
| 3 | Expenditure Information | | | |
| 3.1 | Evaluate the reasonableness of the proposed budget. | 15% | | |
| Subtotal | | 15% | | |
| TOTAL (%) | | 100% | | |

| Exhibit I, Evaluation Tool RFA No. HHS0013921 | | |
|--|-------|---|
| Evaluation Scoring Guide | | |
| Score | Level | Description |
| Unacceptable | 1 | Response does not address requirement. Response is completely unacceptable. |
| Unacceptable | 2 | Response mentions requirement, but is not responsive to the elements of the requirement. |
| Unacceptable | 3 | Response addresses requirement, but response described does not allow the agency to fulfill mission. |
| Marginal. Fails to meet evaluation standards but failures are correctable. | 4 | Response meets fundamental requirements, however could not be implemented as described (would require both the agency and Respondent to make significant changes not currently anticipated). |
| Marginal. Fails to meet evaluation standards but failures are correctable. | 5 | Response meets fundamental requirements, however could not be implemented as described (implementation would require both the agency and Respondent to make minor changes not currently anticipated). |
| Marginal. Fails to meet evaluation standards but failures are correctable. | 6 | Response meets fundamental requirements, however could not be implemented as described (implementation would require changes to be made by Respondent only). |
| Acceptable | 7 | Response clearly satisfies requirement but has some minor weaknesses. |
| Acceptable | 8 | Response clearly satisfies requirement. |
| Acceptable | 9 | Response satisfies requirements and has some benefits above requirement. |
| Exceptional | 10 | Response far exceeds all aspects of requirement. |

For the purposes of this exhibit, "the agency" means the contracting state agency as specified in the solicitation.

| Exhibit I, Evaluation Tool RFA No. HHS0013921 | | |
|--|--|--------|
| No. | Best Value Criteria | Weight |
| 1 | Narrative Information | 40% |
| 2 | Case Management Work Plan & Performance Evaluation | 45% |
| 3 | Expenditure Information | 15% |
| GRAND TOTAL | | 100% |