

QRTP Accreditation Repost Criteria, Subcriteria Sheet HHS0013604				
Evaluator				
Respondent				
#	Criteria	Weight	Score	Comments
<b>1</b>	<b>Application Experience/Personnel Qualifications</b>			
<b>1.1</b>	Evaluate the Applicant's capacity to serve and reach the target population	15%		
<b>1.2</b>	Evaluate the organization's experience working with the children identified as the target population.	15%		
<b>Subtotal</b>		<b>30%</b>		
<b>2</b>	<b>Methodology and Approach</b>			
<b>2.1</b>	Evaluate the Applicant's strategies for outreach and engagement of families during treatment of youth by the organization.	20%		
<b>2.2</b>	Evaluate the organization's current or intended trauma informed model and related interventions.	20%		
<b>2.3</b>	Evaluate any plans to transition to a Qualified Residential Treatment Program (QRTP) Model.	10%		
<b>Subtotal</b>		<b>50%</b>		
<b>3</b>	<b>Narrative Proposal</b>			
<b>3.1</b>	Evaluate the experience and qualifications of the individual(s) who will oversee the application, administration, and supervision of the grantee's organization before, during, and after accreditation certification.	10%		
<b>3.2</b>	Evaluate the short and long-term goals the organization has achieved, or desires to achieve through accreditation.	10%		
<b>Subtotal</b>		<b>20%</b>		
<b>TOTAL (%)</b>		<b>100%</b>		

QRTP Accreditation Repost HHS0013604		
Evaluation Scoring Guide		
Score	Level	Description
Unacceptable	1	Response does not address requirement. Response is completely unacceptable.
Unacceptable	2	Response mentions requirement, but is not responsive to the elements of the requirement.
Unacceptable	3	Response addresses requirement, but response described does not allow the agency to fulfill mission.
Marginal. Fails to meet evaluation standards but failures are correctable.	4	Response meets fundamental requirements, however could not be implemented as described (would require both the agency and Respondent to make significant changes not currently anticipated).
Marginal. Fails to meet evaluation standards but failures are correctable.	5	Response meets fundamental requirements, however could not be implemented as described (implementation would require both the agency and Respondent to make minor changes not currently anticipated).
Marginal. Fails to meet evaluation standards but failures are correctable.	6	Response meets fundamental requirements, however could not be implemented as described (implementation would require changes to be made by Respondent only).
Acceptable	7	Response clearly satisfies requirement but has some minor weaknesses.
Acceptable	8	Response clearly satisfies requirement.
Acceptable	9	Response satisfies requirements and has some benefits above requirement.
Exceptional	10	Response far exceeds all aspects of requirement.

For the purposes of this exhibit, "the agency" means the contracting state agency as specified in the solicitation.

QRTP Accreditation Repost HHS0013604		
No.	Best Value Criteria	Weight
1	Application Experience/Personnel Qualifications	30%
2	Methodology and Approach	50%
3	Narrative Proposal	20%
	GRAND TOTAL	100%