

**HIV Prevention RFA
Criteria, Subcriteria Sheet
Exhibit G- Solicitation Number: HHS0013483**

Evaluator				
Respondent				
#	Criteria	Weight	Score	Comments
1	Organizational Capacity			
1.1	Evaluate the extent to which the narrative submitted on Form C-Organizational Capacity demonstrates the Applicant's ability to meet the requirements of the solicitation.	10%		
Subtotal		10%		
2	Organizational Approach			
2.1	Evaluate how well the Applicant identifies the characteristics of the population in the service area and the characteristics and needs of the priority populations they propose to serve as outlined in Form D-Organizational Approach.	10%		
Subtotal		10%		
3	Performance Measures			
3.1	Evaluate how well the Applicant describes the applicable performance measures listed in Form E-Performance Measures, how they will be achieved and whether they are comparable to the funding requested.	10%		
Subtotal		10%		
4	Work Plan			
4.1	Evaluate the extent to which the activities the Applicant outlined in Form F-1 Community Engagement and Form F-2 Community Outreach Plan demonstrate their ability to engage individuals and communities most vulnerable to HIV	12%		

4.2	Evaluate how well the Applicant describes their status neutral model linking biomedical HIV prevention services, express HIV/STI/HCV testing, and linkage to comprehensive treatment and care services.	12%		
4.3	Evaluate how well the Applicant describes and details the biomedical HIV prevention services and client support and navigation services they will provide, including activities that enhance services or address larger syndemics.	12%		
4.4	Evaluate how well the Applicant describes and details their Express HIV/STI/HCV testing services in Form F - Workplan	12%		
4.5	Evaluate the extent to which the narrative submitted on Form F-Workplan demonstrates the Applicant's ability to meet the requirements of the solicitation. Evaluate how complete the Applicant filled out Data for All Activities, Funding and Sustainability, Program Evaluation for All Activities and Work Plan Timeline for All Activities sections as outlined in Form F-Workplan.	12%		
Subtotal		60%		
5	Budget			
5.1	Evaluate how well the Applicant's Form G - Requested Budget provided evidence of their ability to provide and identify costs that are necessary to carryout the activities and responsibilities outlined in the scope of work.	10%		
Subtotal		10%		
TOTAL (%)		100%		

HIV Prevention RFA
Exhibit G- Solicitation Number: HHS0013483

Evaluation Scoring Guide

Score	Level	Description
Unacceptable	1	Response does not address requirement. Response is completely unacceptable.
Unacceptable	2	Response mentions requirement, but is not responsive to the elements of the requirement.
Unacceptable	3	Response addresses requirement, but response described does not allow the agency to fulfill mission.
Marginal. Fails to meet evaluation standards but failures are correctable.	4	Response meets fundamental requirements, however could not be implemented as described (would require both the agency and Respondent to make significant changes not currently anticipated).
Marginal. Fails to meet evaluation standards but failures are correctable.	5	Response meets fundamental requirements, however could not be implemented as described (implementation would require both the agency and Respondent to make minor changes not currently anticipated).
Marginal. Fails to meet evaluation standards but failures are correctable.	6	Response meets fundamental requirements, however could not be implemented as described (implementation would require changes to be made by Respondent only).
Acceptable	7	Response clearly satisfies requirement but has some minor weaknesses.
Acceptable	8	Response clearly satisfies requirement.
Acceptable	9	Response satisfies requirements and has some benefits above requirement.
Exceptional	10	Response far exceeds all aspects of requirement.

For the purposes of this exhibit, "the agency" means the contracting state agency as specified in the solicitation.

HIV Prevention RFA
Exhibit G- Solicitation Number: HHS0013483

No.	Best Value Criteria	Weight
1	Organizational Capacity	10%
2	Organizational Approach	10%
3	Performance Measures	10%
4	Work Plan	60%
5	Budget	10%
GRAND TOTAL		100%