

Exhibit I: Community Youth Development Program (CYD) Criteria, Subcriteria Sheet RFA HHS0013413				
Evaluator				
Respondent				
#	Criteria	Weight	Score	Comments
1	Staffing Requirements and Qualifications			
1.1	Evaluate how the Application demonstrates the Applicant's experience administering similar programs of scale, scope, and participant population as required by this RFA.	10%		
1.2	Evaluate how the Application demonstrates the Applicant's experience implementing Positive Youth Development programming.	10%		
Subtotal		20%		
2	Needs Assessment and Goals			
2.1	Evaluate how the Application demonstrates Community Strengths and Needs Assessment (CSNA) required by this RFA.	15%		
Subtotal		15%		
3	Program Delivery			
3.1	Evaluate how the Application outlines Mentoring, Youth Leadership Development, Post-High School Readiness and Family or Caregiver Engagement.	20%		
3.2	Evaluate how the Application demonstrates an understanding of the goals of the Youth Advisory Committee (YAC) component.	10%		
3.3	Evaluate how the Application identifies goals and objectives of the Local Coalition, existing relationships and/or intent to build partnerships with local area service providers to benefit community youth.	5%		
3.4	Evaluate how the Application outlines a plan for service quality with a strategy for incorporating the Youth Program Quality Assessment?	5%		
3.5	Evaluate how the Application describes a plan for program management and oversight required in the RFA.	5%		
Subtotal		45%		
4	Financial Stability			
4.1	Evaluate how the Application demonstrates administrative ability and financial ability required in the RFA.	5%		
4.2	Evaluate how the Application demonstrates financial stability.	5%		
Subtotal		10%		
5	Reasonable Project Cost			
5.1	Evaluate the Application's proposed expenditures and reasonable costs necessary for the successful performance required by the RFA.	5%		
5.2	Evaluate how the Application demonstrates an understanding of the intent of the funding.	5%		
		10%		
TOTAL (%)		100%		

Exhibit I: Community Youth Development Program (CYD) RFA HHS0013413		
Evaluation Scoring Guide		
Score	Level	Description
Unacceptable	1	Response does not address requirement. Response is completely unacceptable.
Unacceptable	2	Response mentions requirement, but is not responsive to the elements of the requirement.
Unacceptable	3	Response addresses requirement, but response described does not allow the agency to fulfill mission.
Marginal. Fails to meet evaluation standards but failures are correctable.	4	Response meets fundamental requirements, however could not be implemented as described (would require both the agency and Respondent to make significant changes not currently anticipated).
Marginal. Fails to meet evaluation standards but failures are correctable.	5	Response meets fundamental requirements, however could not be implemented as described (implementation would require both the agency and Respondent to make minor changes not currently anticipated).
Marginal. Fails to meet evaluation standards but failures are correctable.	6	Response meets fundamental requirements, however could not be implemented as described (implementation would require changes to be made by Respondent only).
Acceptable	7	Response clearly satisfies requirement but has some minor weaknesses.
Acceptable	8	Response clearly satisfies requirement.
Acceptable	9	Response satisfies requirements and has some benefits above requirement.
Exceptional	10	Response far exceeds all aspects of requirement.

For the purposes of this exhibit, "the agency" means the contracting state agency as specified in the solicitation.

Exhibit I: Community Youth Development Program (CYD)
RFA HHS0013413

No.	Best Value Criteria	Weight
1	Staffing Requirements and Qualifications	20%
2	Needs Assessment and Goals	15%
3	Program Delivery	45%
4	Financial Stability	10%
5	Reasonable Project Cost	10%
GRAND TOTAL		100%