

Texas Vaccines for Children Immunization Assurance and Quality Improvement for Providers (IQIP) Program Criteria, Subcriteria Sheet HHS0011844				
Evaluator				
Respondent				
#	Criteria	Weight	Score	Comments
<b>1</b>	<b>Organizational capacity, qualifications, and experience</b>			
1.1	Evaluate the Respondent's Org Chart and Organizational Capacity & Implementation section in Narrative Proposal	13%		
1.2	Evaluate the Respondents ability to effectively conduct Compliance site visits and Compliance & IQIP site visits of private healthcare providers outside SAMHD and COH jurisdictions as outlined in Section 2.5 of RFA.	12%		
	<b>Subtotal</b>	<b>25%</b>		
<b>2</b>	<b>Background</b>			
2.1	Evaluate the Respondent's history completing the required activities of this RFA	10%		
2.2	Evaluate the Respondent's ability to ensure completion and submission of required reports	10%		
2.3	Evaluate the Respondent's experience working with State and/or Local governments and experience with conducting site visits	5%		
	<b>Subtotal</b>	<b>25%</b>		
<b>3</b>	<b>Proposed Budget and justification (cost effectiveness)</b>			
3.1	Evaluate the Respondent's Proposed Budget against the activities in the Narrative Proposal.	12%		
3.2	Evaluate the Respondent's Proposed Budget to ensure it is allowable, reasonable, and allocable per state and federal guidelines, including those guidelines for site visits.	13%		
	<b>Subtotal</b>	<b>25%</b>		
<b>4</b>	<b>Quality of proposed Program approach</b>			
4.1	Evaluate the Respondent's proposed outcomes, quality assurance strategies, and activities against the requirements as outlined in the RFA.	13%		
4.2	Evaluate the Respondent's proposed monitoring plan	12%		
	<b>Subtotal</b>	<b>25%</b>		
	<b>TOTAL (%)</b>	<b>100%</b>		

Texas Vaccines for Children Immunization Assurance and Quality Improvement for Providers (IQIP) Program HHS0011844		
Evaluation Scoring Guide		
Score	Level	Description
Unacceptable	1	Response does not address requirement. Response is completely unacceptable.
Unacceptable	2	Response mentions requirement, but is not responsive to the elements of the requirement.
Unacceptable	3	Response addresses requirement, but response described does not allow the agency to fulfill mission.
Marginal. Fails to meet evaluation standards but failures are correctable.	4	Response meets fundamental requirements, however could not be implemented as described (would require both the agency and Respondent to make significant changes not currently anticipated).
Marginal. Fails to meet evaluation standards but failures are correctable.	5	Response meets fundamental requirements, however could not be implemented as described (implementation would require both the agency and Respondent to make minor changes not currently anticipated).
Marginal. Fails to meet evaluation standards but failures are correctable.	6	Response meets fundamental requirements, however could not be implemented as described (implementation would require changes to be made by Respondent only).
Acceptable	7	Response clearly satisfies requirement but has some minor weaknesses.
Acceptable	8	Response clearly satisfies requirement.
Acceptable	9	Response satisfies requirements and has some benefits above requirement.
Exceptional	10	Response far exceeds all aspects of requirement.

For the purposes of this exhibit, "the agency" means the contracting state agency as specified in the solicitation.

Texas Vaccines for Children Immunization Assurance and Quality Improvement for Providers (IQIP) Program HHS0011844		
No.	Best Value Criteria	Weight
1	Organizational capacity, qualifications, and experience	25%
2	Background	25%
3	Proposed Budget and justification (cost effectiveness)	25%
4	Quality of proposed Program approach	25%
	GRAND TOTAL	100%