

**SB 26 Supporting Mental Health and Resiliency in Texans (SMART) Innovation Grant Program
Criteria, Subcriteria Sheet
HHS0013881**

Evaluator				
Respondent				
#	Criteria	Weight	Score	Comments
1	Project Design and Outcomes			
1.1	Evaluate the Applicant's proposed Project, including its innovative nature, its activities, and its ability to engage target populations and deliver desired Outcomes.	30%		
1.2	Evaluate the Applicant's ability to clearly define the timeline and duration for activities included in the proposed Project and plans for long-term sustainability and funding.	15%		
	Subtotal	45%		
2	Community Engagement and Assessment of Needs			
2.1	Evaluate the Applicant's proposed plan to build and maintain successful community partnerships with local service providers that lead to positive Outcomes for Children and Families or innovative collaborative initiatives.	20%		
2.2	Evaluate the Applicant's ability to (1) identify the characteristics, needs, challenges, and resources of its Community in Form D, Community Assessment of Needs; (2) align those Community characteristics, needs, challenges, and resources to the priorities listed in the RFA; and (3) demonstrate how the proposed Project addresses these RFA priorities and stated Community needs.	35%		
	Subtotal	55%		
	TOTAL (%)	100%		

**SB 26 Supporting Mental Health and Resiliency in Texans (SMART) Innovation Grant Program
HHS0013881**

Evaluation Scoring Guide		
Score	Level	Description
Unacceptable	1	Response does not address requirement. Response is completely unacceptable.
Unacceptable	2	Response mentions requirement, but is not responsive to the elements of the requirement.
Unacceptable	3	Response addresses requirement, but response described does not allow the agency to fulfill mission.
Marginal. Fails to meet evaluation standards but failures are correctable.	4	Response meets fundamental requirements, however could not be implemented as described (would require both the agency and Respondent to make significant changes not currently anticipated).
Marginal. Fails to meet evaluation standards but failures are correctable.	5	Response meets fundamental requirements, however could not be implemented as described (implementation would require both the agency and Respondent to make minor changes not currently anticipated).
Marginal. Fails to meet evaluation standards but failures are correctable.	6	Response meets fundamental requirements, however could not be implemented as described (implementation would require changes to be made by Respondent only).
Acceptable	7	Response clearly satisfies requirement but has some minor weaknesses.
Acceptable	8	Response clearly satisfies requirement.
Acceptable	9	Response satisfies requirements and has some benefits above requirement.
Exceptional	10	Response far exceeds all aspects of requirement.

For the purposes of this exhibit, "the agency" means the contracting state agency as specified in the solicitation.

**SB 26 Supporting Mental Health and Resiliency in Texans (SMART) Innovation Grant Program
HHS0013881**

No.	Best Value Criteria	Weight
1	Project Design and Outcomes	45%
2	Community Engagement and Assessment of Need	55%
GRAND TOTAL		100%