

| SB 26 Supporting Mental Health and Resiliency in Texans (SMART) Innovation Grant Program Criteria, Subcriteria Sheet HHS0013881 | | | | |
|---|--|---------------|--------------|-----------------|
| Evaluator | | | | |
| Respondent | | | | |
| # | Criteria | Weight | Score | Comments |
| 1 | Project Design and Outcomes | | | |
| 1.1 | Evaluate the Applicant's proposed Project, including its innovative nature, its activities, and its ability to engage target populations and deliver desired Outcomes. | 30% | | |
| 1.2 | Evaluate the Applicant's ability to clearly define the timeline and duration for activities included in the proposed Project and plans for long-term sustainability and funding. | 15% | | |
| | Subtotal | 45% | | |
| 2 | Community Engagement and Assessment of Needs | | | |
| 2.1 | Evaluate the Applicant's proposed plan to build and maintain successful community partnerships with local service providers that lead to positive Outcomes for Children and Families or innovative collaborative initiatives. | 20% | | |
| 2.2 | Evaluate the Applicant's ability to (1) identify the characteristics, needs, challenges, and resources of its Community in Form D, Community Assessment of Needs; (2) align those Community characteristics, needs, challenges, and resources to the priorities listed in the RFA; and (3) demonstrate how the proposed Project addresses these RFA priorities and stated Community needs. | 35% | | |
| | Subtotal | 55% | | |
| | TOTAL (%) | 100% | | |

| SB 26 Supporting Mental Health and Resiliency in Texans (SMART) Innovation Grant Program HHS0013881 | | |
|--|-------|---|
| Evaluation Scoring Guide | | |
| Score | Level | Description |
| Unacceptable | 1 | Response does not address requirement. Response is completely unacceptable. |
| Unacceptable | 2 | Response mentions requirement, but is not responsive to the elements of the requirement. |
| Unacceptable | 3 | Response addresses requirement, but response described does not allow the agency to fulfill mission. |
| Marginal. Fails to meet evaluation standards but failures are correctable. | 4 | Response meets fundamental requirements, however could not be implemented as described (would require both the agency and Respondent to make significant changes not currently anticipated). |
| Marginal. Fails to meet evaluation standards but failures are correctable. | 5 | Response meets fundamental requirements, however could not be implemented as described (implementation would require both the agency and Respondent to make minor changes not currently anticipated). |
| Marginal. Fails to meet evaluation standards but failures are correctable. | 6 | Response meets fundamental requirements, however could not be implemented as described (implementation would require changes to be made by Respondent only). |
| Acceptable | 7 | Response clearly satisfies requirement but has some minor weaknesses. |
| Acceptable | 8 | Response clearly satisfies requirement. |
| Acceptable | 9 | Response satisfies requirements and has some benefits above requirement. |
| Exceptional | 10 | Response far exceeds all aspects of requirement. |

For the purposes of this exhibit, "the agency" means the contracting state agency as specified in the solicitation.

| SB 26 Supporting Mental Health and Resiliency in Texans (SMART) Innovation Grant Program HHS0013881 | | |
|--|---|--------|
| No. | Best Value Criteria | Weight |
| 1 | Project Design and Outcomes | 45% |
| 2 | Community Engagement and Assessment of Need | 55% |
| | GRAND TOTAL | 100% |