

**Attachment to Addendum No. 4-Revised Exhibit G, Evaluation Tool
RFA No. HHS0015199
Criteria, Subcriteria Sheet**

Evaluator Respondent				
#	Criteria	Weight	Score	Comments
1	Training and Technical Assistance (30%)			
1.1	Evaluate the organization's experience and plans to provide training to Family Violence programs outlined in this RFA.	10%		
1.2	Evaluate the organization's experience and plans to provide Technical Assistance to Family Violence programs outlined in this RFA.	10%		
1.3	Evaluate the Applicant's demonstrated ability to meet the required performance measures and outcomes outlined in this RFA.	10%		
	Subtotal	30%		
2	Coordination with System Agency (30%)			
2.1	Evaluate the organization's demonstrated ability to effectively coordinate and communicate with the System Agency.	15%		
2.2	Evaluate the organization's demonstrated ability to effectively coordinate with the System Agency, other agencies, and Service providers to strengthen partnerships outlined in this RFA.	15%		
	Subtotal	30%		
3	Program Assessments and Effectiveness (30%)			
3.1	Evaluate the Applicant's proposed plans to improve the operational and programmatic effectiveness of Family Violence programs.	15%		
3.2	Evaluate the Applicant's demonstrated ability to improve assessment practices and data that support the required outcomes of Service providers outlined in this RFA.	15%		
	Subtotal	30%		
4	Organizational and Fiscal Stability (10%)			
4.1	Evaluate the organization's accounting systems and fiscal oversight plans to carry out the requirements of this RFA.	5%		
4.2	Evaluate the organization's board leadership and staff's ability to carry out requirements of this RFA.	5%		
	Subtotal	10%		
	TOTAL (%)	100%		

**Attachment to Addendum No. 4-Revised Exhibit G, Evaluation Tool
RFA No. HHS0015199**

Evaluation Scoring Guide

Score	Level	Description
Unacceptable	1	Response does not address requirement. Response is completely unacceptable.
Unacceptable	2	Response mentions requirement, but is not responsive to the elements of the requirement.
Unacceptable	3	Response addresses requirement, but response described does not allow the agency to fulfill mission.
Marginal. Fails to meet evaluation standards but failures are correctable.	4	Response meets fundamental requirements, however could not be implemented as described (would require both the agency and Respondent to make significant changes not currently anticipated).
Marginal. Fails to meet evaluation standards but failures are correctable.	5	Response meets fundamental requirements, however could not be implemented as described (implementation would require both the agency and Respondent to make minor changes not currently anticipated).
Marginal. Fails to meet evaluation standards but failures are correctable.	6	Response meets fundamental requirements, however could not be implemented as described (implementation would require changes to be made by Respondent only).
Acceptable	7	Response clearly satisfies requirement but has some minor weaknesses.
Acceptable	8	Response clearly satisfies requirement.
Acceptable	9	Response satisfies requirements and has some benefits above requirement.
Exceptional	10	Response far exceeds all aspects of requirement.

For the purposes of this exhibit, "the agency" means the contracting state agency as specified in the solicitation.

**Attachment to Addendum No. 4-Revised Exhibit G, Evaluation Tool
RFA No. HHS0015199**

No.	Best Value Criteria	Weight
1	Training and Technical Assistance	30%
2	Coordination with System Agency	30%
3	Program Assessments and Effectiveness	30%
4	Organizational and Fiscal Stability	10%
GRAND TOTAL		100%